Technical Memorandum

FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF ONE AND THREE-DAY RAINFALL MAXIMA FOR CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA

by Paul Trimble

October 1990

Water Resources Division Department of Research and Evaluation South Florida Water Management District

This publication was produced at an annual cost of \$93.74 or \$.19 per copy to inform the public. 500 1090 Produced on recycled paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Intro Data Data Freq Resu Sum Refe	nowledgments oduction a Sources a Preparation quency Analyses ults umary erences endix	iii 1 3 4 4 17 18 19
	LIST OF FIGURES	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Rainfall Gage Location Distribution of Maximum One-Day Rainfall Event Distribution of Maximum Three-Day Rainfall Events 1-Day Isohyetal Map: 3-Year Return Period 1-Day Isohyetal Map: 5-Year Return Period 1-Day Isohyetal Map: 10-Year Return Period 1-Day Isohyetal Map: 25-Year Return Period 1-Day Isohyetal Map: 100-Year Return Period 3-Day Isohyetal Map: 10-Year Return Period 3-Day Isohyetal Map: 25-Year Return Period 3-Day Isohyetal Map: 25-Year Return Period 3-Day Isohyetal Map: 100-Year Return Period	2 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

(This page intentionally left blank)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The expertise provided by Shawn Sculley in the statistical analysis was invaluable for the completion of this project. Medardo Molina provided a thorough review of the technical aspects of this investigation. Tom MacVicar supplied valuable suggestions for analyzing and presenting the final results. Barbara Brown should be recognized for her tremendous effort in the actual retrieval and analysis of the enormous amount of daily rainfall record that was processed, as well as Terrie McRorie for her excellent work digitizing the isohyetal map. Nettie Winograd is credited for her fine job typing and editing this report. Finally, special thanks to those that reviewed this analysis.

 $(This\ page\ left\ intentionally\ blank)$

I. INTRODUCTION

The South Florida Water Management District (District) is committed to maintaining the most accurate and up-to-date rainfall frequency data for use in evaluating permit applications submitted to the District. The Frequency Analysis of Rainfall Maximums for Central and Southern Florida, Technical Publication 81-3 (MacVicar, 1981) presents the results of a comprehensive frequency analysis of maximum rainfall events of 1-, 2-, 3- and 5-day duration along with seasonal and annual durations. The 1- and 3-day duration maximum rainfall events are the most commonly considered by the District's Regulation Department in the permit review process described in the Management And Storage of Surface Waters, Permit Information Manual, Volume IV (1989). The purpose of this report is to update the 1and 3-day duration frequency analysis included in the Permit Information Manual with the additional data that has become available in recent years. This data allows for additional gages to be added to the analysis while also increasing the reliability of long-term existing gages that were used in the earlier study. Refined and more stringent criteria have been developed to determine whether a particular station year should be used. Only station years that have a 90 percent probability of including the annual maximum event in the observed values were included. Even with these stricter criteria, the number of stations used in the analysis increased from 140 in the earlier analysis to 156 in this analysis. The number of station-years increased from 4,606 to 5,587, or by 21 percent.

The density of rain gages increased the greatest in the Kissimmee River Valley where only sparse data was available for the earlier study. A few gages were eliminated due to more strigent criteria used in selecting the station-years to be analyzed.

II. DATA SOURCES

The sources of data for this study include all the rainfall gages within or near the District for which at least 20 years of quality daily record is available. The data was obtained from the same sources used in the 1981 analysis. These include data that were obtained from the Weather Bureau Records, the South Florida Water Management District, the Lake Worth Drainage District, and the Corps of Engineers. The data that became available in recent years facilitates the production of rainfall frequency maps for South Florida using a higher quality and denser network of rain gages than those used in the earlier study. Figure 1 illustrates the areal distribution of these rain gages along with an indication of the number of years of reliable record at a particular station. More specific information about the rainfall gages will be found in the appendix.

The majority of the rainfall values represent gage readings taken once a day. The time of day that readings are taken varies between stations. In certain cases, hourly values are summed over 24-hour periods to obtain the daily values. No attempt was made to adjust all the daily data to the same 24-hour period, or to estimate maximum 24-hour rainfall from observational daily measurements. No adjustments for bias due to gage type or exposure were made. This analysis was based completely on the daily observations, as was the original analysis completed by the District in 1981.




Figure 1. Rainfall Gauge Location

III. DATA PREPARATION

Each year of data is assumed to represent an independent event at that location. A filler technique similar to that used in the previous analysis was used to estimate rainfall at stations that contained missing record. This linear interpolation scheme uses the ratio of the average annual precipitation at nearby stations to that at the station with missing record to determine a weighting factor between the rainfall at the nearby stations and the one with missing data. Then the missing rainfall value may be estimated by the relationship

$$P_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{M_x}{M_i} * P_i$$

where

 P_x is the estimated daily precipitation at the station with missing record, M_r is the average annual precipitation at the station with missing record,

 M_i is the average annual precipitation at ith nearby station,

N is the number of nearby stations used for estimate,

 P_i is daily precipitation at the ith nearby station.

This method is known as the normal ratio method (Paulhus and Kohler, 1952).

Certain stations have accumulated rainfall totals during weekends and holidays. These stations may otherwise have reliable daily records. It is desirable to include these records in the analysis. An accumulated rainfall total was distributed over the individual days during which the rainfall was accumulated based on the temporal distribution of rainfall at the nearby stations that had daily record available. The relationship used to estimate the daily rainfall was the same as for the missing rainfall with the exception that the annual mean rainfall values \mathbf{M}_x and \mathbf{M}_i are replaced by the accumulated values \mathbf{A}_x and \mathbf{A}_i . Again, the subscript x refers to the rainfall station that the value is being estimated and the subscript x refers to the ith of x stations used to estimate the daily values. These estimated daily rainfall values were treated the same as observed values if the length of the accumulated period was less than or equal to five days. When the period of accumulated rainfall values was longer than five days, the daily estimates will not be as reliable as those estimated from rainfall totals accumulated over short periods and are flagged as estimated values.

In the previous District rainfall analysis, station-years with up to 150 days of estimated data were included. In this analysis, only station years that have at least a 90 percent probability of including the annual maximum event in the observed values were included. In determining these probabilities, consideration was not only given to the number of missing or estimated days, but also to what month of the year the missing value occurred. The probability that a given daily maximum rainfall event is included in the observed data of a particular year may be represented by the following equation

$$pdmi = [1 - [\sum_{m=1}^{12} \frac{nmd_m}{nd_m} * Pr_m]]*100$$

where

pdmi is the probability, expressed as a percentage, of the daily maximum event being included for a given station year,

nmd is the number of missing days in month m,

nd is the number of days in month m,

Pr is the probability for the maximum event to occur during month m.

Long term rainfall stations representing different regions of the District were examined to determine the likelihood of a maximum rainfall event occurring during a particular month of a year. The frequency distributions for the annual maximum 1-and 3-day duration events appear in Figure 2 and Figure 3 for the Keys, Lower East Coast (LEC), Lower West Coast (LWC), Everglades Agricultural Area (EAA), and Kissimmee Valley. The distributions vary significantly from one region of the District to another. The months of June and September generally have the highest probability for the annual maximum 1- and 3-day duration events to occur while the period of December through March has a minimal probability for occurrence of these same events.

IV. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS


The two-parameter Gumbel distribution was chosen as the probability function for analyzing the series of maximum annual rainfall events. This distribution is essentially log-normal distribution with constant skewness (Chow, Ven T., 1954) and also known as Fisher Tippet Type I distribution. It was chosen because it is widely accepted by practicing professionals, the results are easily compared with other similar analysis including the earlier District analysis and that its use has already been established as a design standard. Its cumulative distribution function, defined as the probability that any outcome in X will be less than or equal to a stated limiting value x, may be expressed as

$$P(X \le x) = exp\left\{-exp\left[-a(x-u)\right]\right\}$$

where a and u are a function of the mean and standard deviation.

V. RESULTS

The rainfall depths for the 3-, 5-, 10-, 25- and 100-year return periods for the 1-day and 10-, 25-, and 100-year return periods for the 3-day duration maximum events were computed for each rain gage included in this analysis. The validity of using the Gumbel distribution for this task was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness-of-fit test. In this test, the maximum difference between the stepwise cumulative frequency function derived from the data set and that of the theoretical distribution function determined by the Gumbel method over the range of observed values, is used as a measure of the discrepancy between the theoretical distribution and the observed data.



igure 2. Distribution of Maximum One Day RF Events




Figure 3. Distribution of Maximum Three Day RF Events

For a specified sample size and the computed maximum difference, a level of significance (α) of the goodness-of-fit can be estimated. For a significance level α , there is a 100 * (1- α)% chance that the population does not follow a specific distribution. In this analysis, 50 percent of the rainfall stations had a significance level of 0.69 or greater for the 1-day maximum events. For the 3-day events, 50 percent of the stations had a significance level of about 0.78. This indicates that over 50 percent of the stations had less than or equal to a 31 percent chance of not following Gumbel distribution for 1-day events, and less than or equal to a 22 percent chance of not following the 3-day events. The test for the 1-day and 3-day events for goodness of fit are independent of each other.

Once rainfall depths were computed, isohyetal maps were produced which illustrate the areal variation in rainfall depths associated with specific return periods and durations. Isohyetals, or lines of equal depths of rainfall, were manually drawn. Large variations in rainfall may occur between stations due to the complex interactions of large scale storm systems with mesoscale systems (1-100 kilometers) such as sea and lake breeze circulations. Rainfall intensities from large scale storm events are often enhanced (or diminished) at locations that normally favor (or resist) the formation of storms due to the mesoscale factors. Examples of regions of enhancement are along the Lower East Coast due to the sea breeze circulations, and to the south of Lake Okeechobee due to lake breeze effects. According to the results of numerical experiments (Pielke, 1974), maximum rainfall amounts, due to the sea breeze circulations interacting with the prevailing summertime southeasterly winds, normally would occur several miles inland along the Lower East Coast. These same results indicate the maximum rainfall events on the Lower West Coast would be much closer to the coastline. Examples of regions that would expect lesser maximums would be over and immediately downwind of water bodies where the air is more stable and more generally in the interior regions of South Florida. In summary, the results of this analysis indicate that regions of largest 1- and 3-day duration maximum events occur in many of the same regions that would be greatly enhanced by mesoscale circulations, and illustrate the importance that these circulations have on depicting the location and intensity of maximum storm events.

Other factors affecting the computed values at each rain gauge include the number and period of the years that quality record was available at the gauge, the type of rain gauge being used, the exposure of the rain gauge, and how well the Gumbel distribution fits the data at a particular gauge. It is difficult to account for all the variations that occur between stations. When station values differ significantly from those of nearby stations, the data of this station was checked to verify the cause of the disparity, and to decide whether this gauge indeed included reliable data. In regions that data was sufficient, only stations with greater than 30 years of record were considered.

Figures 4-8 include the 1-day rainfall totals for the 3-, 5-, 10-, 25-, and 100- year return period events, while figures 9-11 include the 3-day rainfall totals for the 10-, 25-, 100-year return period.




Figure 4. 1-Day Rainfall: 3 Year Return Period




Figure 5. 1-Day Rainfall: 5 Year Return Period




Figure 6. 1-Day Rainfall: 10 Year Return Period




Figure 7. 1-Day Rainfall: 25 Year Return Period




Figure 8. 1-Day Rainfall: 100 Year Return Period




Figure 9. 3-Day Rainfall: 10 Year Return Period




Figure 10. 3-Day Rainfall: 25 Year Return Period




Figure 11. 3-Day Rainfall: 100 Year Return Period

 $(This\ page\ left\ intentionally\ blank)$

VI. SUMMARY

The inclusion of additional rainfall gauges, and the greater number of years of record available, allowed greater reliability and detail to be included in the isohyetal maps than earlier District analysis. The general pattern of larger maximum rainfall events along the coastal regions, particularly the Lower East Coast, still exist with only minor changes in the computed extreme events.

Additional gauges with 20 years record in the interior regions, especially in the lower Kissimmee Valley region, allowed for additional detail to be added to these maps in this region. The last 20 years have tended to be drier in the interior sections of south and central Florida which also lowered the maximum expected values of the computed extreme events in this region.

The precipitation regime over Lake Okeechobee and the surrounding ocean is completely different than that over the land mass of Florida. These maps were generated based on measurements taken over land mass and should not be used to estimate rainfall over Lake Okeechobee or nearby marine areas.

REFERENCES

Chow, Ven T. 1954. The Log-Probability and its Engineering Applications, Proc. ASCE, 80, Paper No.536, November 1954

MacVicar, Thomas K. 1981. Frequency Analysis of Rainfall Maximums for Central And Southern Florida, South Florida Water Management District. Technical Publication 81-3.

Paulhus, J. L. H. and M. A. Kohler. 1952. Interpretation of Missing Precipitation Records. Monthly Weather Review, Vol. 8, pp. 129-133. August 1952.

Pielke, R., 1974. A Three-Dimensional Model of the Sea-Breezes Over South Florida. Monthly Weather Review, Volume 102, pp. 115-139. 1974.

South Florida Water Management District. 1986. Management and Storage of Surface Waters, Permit Information Manual Volume IV.

APPENDIX

Rainfall Station Basic Information

 $(This\ page\ left\ intentionally\ blank)$

PERIOD OF RECORD	1940-1990	1928-1990	1957-1990	1957-1990	1957-1990	1957-1990	1960-1990	1965-1990	1962-1990	1967-1990	1929~1972	1957-1990	1957-1990	1957-1989	1965-1989	1965-1990	1950-1990	1965-1987	1959-1990	1959-1990	1968-1990	1955-1990	1944-1930	1965-1990	1965-1989	1965-1990	1965-1990	1966~1990	1955-1983	1955-1983	1955-1983	1955-1983	1955-1983	1955-1983	1965-1990	1965-1990	1961-1987	1938-1989	1965-1990	1958-1987	1951-1988	1965-1990	1957-1989	1951-1988	1951-1988	PARI-JURI	1367-1366	10%61000	1900-1950	1900-1989	1943-1989	1915-1989	1895-1989	1924-1989 1935-1989	
OF YEARS	30	31	28	%	en V	8	2 8	23	33	20	*	56	5-2	28	21	, (C	3	17	ž	ĸ	R	2	9	21	22	2	7	20	28	26	SS	88	2	Z	N C	, <u>,</u>	22	20	23	23	37	25	ę,	K	ر ا	C Y	? (y C	y m	3 12	22	71	<u>۲</u> -	22	
COUNTY	PALM BEACH	PALM BEACH	BROHARD	BROWRED	BROTERO	BROKE	BROHRRD	DRDE	OSCEOLA	DADE	PRLM BEACH	PRICH SERCH				E K		1 11	731 15P	COLUMN TER	Ë	DSCF01 A	PRLN BERCH	OSCEDLA	P0_K	OKEECHOBEE	ST. LUCIE	OKEECHOBEE	OKEECHOBEE	OKEECHOBEE	OKEECHOBEE	OKEECHOBEE	OKEECHOBEE	UKEECHOBEE	AL GHCHADS	CHERCHOBER	HI GHL RNDS	OKEEC HOBEE	GLRDES	MARTIN	HARTIN	GLADES	PALM BERCH	PHILE BEHICH		THEN BENCH		CENTROLE	I DAYE	1 BK	HILLSBOROUGH	POLK	Z K	70 Z	
STATION NEWE		BOCH RATON & POWERLINE (LHDD)	FRREEKS MARKET	DIXIE HATER PLANT		1	S-9 PUMP S. NEH RIVER CRAMM.	•	BROOKS PROPERTY	BELOH SI	TOWNSITE U.S. SUGAR	A LAKE (PRHOKEE 2	S-61 SPILLING ON C-35 AT LOKE TOWN.		BCDEC 1	Ω	FIFT OF BEING THANKELD	CORKSTRED SURMS RT SENCTIONS NEGROUNDSTERS	ALVA FARMS / YOUER BROS.	S-65 SPILLIBY IN 19KF KISSIMMER AT C-38	INTAKE - CITY OF HEST PALM BE	SPILL HRP	7	S-658 SPILLHRY & LOCK ON C-38	LERCE FIELD STRTION	S65-C SPILLMAY ON C-38	WILLIAMSON DITCH RT 5-27 MEAR OKEECHOBEE	CREEK - BASSETT 2	CREEK - RAULES	CREEK - DIXIE	CREEK	TRYLOR CREEK - OPRL 7	PICE TAY	N-65U SPIELMMY UN L-38 A-65F COITTURO & 100F ON C-38 AT 1 AME DMEFCHARFE	NO		SPILLINGY ON C-41	S-80 SPILLHRY & LOCK ON ST. LUCIE CANAL TIDEMATER	PORT MAYROR LOCK (CORPS OF ENGINEERS)		& LTITATION	CALLEKE	UN LHKE OKEECHOBEE	7 LAKE U	DEMOUNT - U.S. SUBIK	CANTODO DEPOTOTACION CITATION		-	HILLSBOROUGH RIVER STRIE PARK	117		LAKE ALFRED - EXPERIMENT STATION HOUNTAIN LAKE	
Y-COORDINATE	747202	740543	691200	643218	640535	628213	628263	543205	1423525	362549	873820	915303	901321	830764	1383658	1369272	826801	96.9071	773499	742319	865035	1261202	866561	1208640	1211052	1151538	1102720	1114447	1132131	1118073	1107892	1094558	1097307	1085515	1083067	1051121	1050626	1044413	1012322	1009774	963965	961467	934890	919751	910880	711.CGG	400000	FC25624	1642307	1507870	1387048	1345958	1297131	1369683 1310403	
X-COORDINATE	760265	776952	781828	762544	752896	752148	682565	715639	416025	656799	521033	625983	642244	654786	386437	3294RE	2825.10	72.4583	356865	302280	294334	4360K2	806048	456226	377178	436787	657594	462596	549280	525414	545793	540578	559331	572516	418201	436664	469037	566180	444796	732327	624749	470688	726808	619735	471558	923917 92469	18,1088	21.55.1	201263	249059	105297	193525	227513	268945 305910	
HRF X	101	201	5	108	103 103	114	115	117	7	124	125C	135	137	138	18	7	Š	3 6	24.	5	5	7	301	32	200	ĸ	, (C	38	4001	4002	6 63	5005	8	4007	£ (ភូមិ	₹ 2	4	#	£	21	23	ر ا	8	ያ	<u></u>	3 6	9 6	500		9	9009	5003	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	

PERIOD OF RECORD	1947-1989	1933-1989	1892-1989	1907-1989	1914-1965	1901-1989	1942-1972	1956-1989	1911-1979	1937-1989	1943-1984	1916-1983	1942-1979	1893-1959	1948-1989	1901-1989	1930-1974	1948-1989	1918-1989	1948-1989	1922-1989	1929-1989	1942-1958	1347-1383 1034-1000	1341-1363	1936-1989	1941-1989	1923-1958	1958-1988	1927-1989	1948~1989	1953-1981	1912-1989	1942-1973	1941-1989	1890-1959	1941-1988	1939-1989	1939-1954		1937-1367	1000-1000	1851-1989	10000 T	CJC7_C7CT	1958-1988	1958-1988 1958-1988 1949-1989	1958-1968 1949-1988 1956-1989	1958-1988 1949-1988 1956-1989 1924-1989	1952-1988 1958-1988 1956-1989 1924-1989	1925-1988 1945-1988 1956-1989 1926-1989 1962-1989	1925-1988 1945-1988 1956-1989 1924-1989 1962-1989 1962-1989	1952-1963 1958-1986 1956-1989 1924-1989 1962-1989 1910-1989	1952-1963 1958-1988 1956-1989 1956-1989 1962-1989 1910-1989	1925-1988 1949-1988 1956-1989 1926-1989 1962-1989 1910-1989	1955-1968 1945-1988 1956-1989 1924-1989 1962-1989 1910-1988	1958-1988 1948-1989 1956-1989 1924-1989 1962-1989 1910-1989	1952-1963 1958-1988 1956-1989 1956-1989 1962-1989 1910-1989 1951-1988
UT TERRS TO	34	4 CI	2 %	r 00	47	20	Te:	in i	er er		2	۲ <u>۵</u> :	m ;	ब ं	R 8	₹ &	: 83	32	69	33	K :	<u>a.</u> (m (r o	n 0	S &	ί. V	<u>%</u>	₹.	⊕	₽ 7	F 7	. 65	28	4	52	€.	2	.	15	∑ 4	i v	3 10	. &	7	7	7 8	. .	5 8 8 8	188321 189	~ <i>%</i> %%%%	1 <i>475</i> 88	1 # 1	447888 447887	4478888 447888	. ጽአሜጜኡኡ የ	3 ፈፈ ፔ ይ ያቘፓ	844 % 888
COUNTY #	POLK	HARDEE		DESOTO	CHRRLOTTE	BREVARD	OSCEOLA	OKEECHOBEE	INDIAN RIVER	BREVARO	INDIAN KIVEK	CRANGE		USCEULA	USCEULH LICEL BRIDE	ST.146TE	HI GHL PADS	OKEECHOBEE	GLADES	FENDE	PALM BEACH			COLLIER	HOMON	HOMBOE	DADE	OHDE	DADE	DADE		REGLASED	BROWARD	BROMBRD	BROWNRD		PALM BEACH	PALM BEACH	CKHNGE		HODTIN	DOLM SCOOL	FF 551	G. ADES	DOMEST	Johnson	DROE	DADE HENDRY	DROBE HENDRY PPLM BERCH	DORUGE HENDRY PALM BENCH HONROE	DANGE DANGE HENDRY PRLM BEACH HONROE DRIDE	ORDE HENDRY PPLN BENCH HONROE DRDE	DROCK HENDRY PPILM BEFACH HONROE DROCK HENDRY HONROE DROCK HENDRY	DOMESTICATION OF THE PRICE OF T	OKANIOS DOS HENDRY PRLM BEACH HONROE DROE HENDRY	DONE DONE HENDRY PRLM BEACH HONROE DROE HENDRY	DROBE HENDRY POUR BENCH HONROE DROBE HENDRY	DROCH HENDRY PIPLIN BEACH HONROE DROCH HENDRY
STATION NAME		₹ ₹	CLIP OF FECT FEET	- 62	PUNTA GORDA	_		FORT DRUM SAM	FELLSMERE	8		ISLEBORITE		KI SSI MILE	KINSIMBLE COMPANY OF THE COMPANY OF	PIFRE	呈	^S-193 O	ON LEKE OKEECHOBEE	_		LR BELLE	LINKE INTERIOR	MARLES CHEDEL AND	EVERBLIBES VEU UTET CHIV OF DEFENDED AND CTOMODO FONS	VIEW OF RECORDER RID	TRAITENIE AT 40 MILE BEND	GROVE 75	HIPMI 125 5.4.	BEACH	MINNI RIKPORT WSNO RP (NORM STRIION 5663)	FILE MEDIFINA F EXPEDITENT STATION		DANIS 4 MM	POHPRING BERCH	HYPOLUKO	LOXAMATCHEE	HEST PACK BEACK AIRPORT	LAKE HIRAMSSEE	CONTAINS AN MINISTER	CTOIDT 184	Œ	FILL MENERS	LIBERTY POINT - U.S. SUGAR	BITHLO (FORMERLY CHRISTMRS)				· 2	PALH RANGER 'S GARDEN TOWER GLADE EXPERIMEN 1600	PALH RANGER 'S GARDEN TOHER COUPLIC GLADE EXPERIMENT STATI NGO END EXPERIMENT STATION	PALH RANGER 'S GREDEN TOHER CUPLLIC GLADE EXPERIMENT STATION BOOK STATEMENT STATION CONTRACTOR OF THE STATION CONTRACTOR O	PALH RANGER 'S GRODEN TOHER COUPLIC GLADE EXPERIMENT STATI BO FEND EXPERIMENT STATION FRE EXPERIMENT STATION	PALH RANGER 'S GARDEN TOWER GLADE EXPERIMEN 160 ON LAKE OKEECHO	PALH RANGER 'S GARDEN TOMER COUPLIC GLADE EXPERIMENT STATI NGO END EXPERIMENT STATION ON LAKE OKEECHOBEE RT	PALH RANGER 5 GROEN TOMER COUPLIC 6LADE EXPERIMENT STATI 60 END EXPERIMENT STATION ON LINE OKEECHOBEE BT	PALH RANGER 5 GROEN TOMER COUPLIC 6LADE EXPERIMENT STATI 60 END EXPERIMENT STATION ON LINE OKEECHOBEE BT	PALH RANGER S GRADEN TOHER COUPLIC GLADE EXPERIMENT STATI BO END EXPERIMENT STATION ON LAKE DIKEECHOBEE HT
Y-COORDI NATE	1276041	1175990	1185133	1054921	946317	1556879	1308412	1181211	1249049	1357078	1206155	1508125	1472053	1441943	1433686	1128713	1053946	1044204	910860	069088	921247	875515	(53/13)	557738	20405	243843	518377	479102	476965	526653	539575	537485	643218	830638	691381	806713	856114	853022	1526636	FC12CC1	1042559	967238	812524	898249	1532617		382765	382765 824768	382765 824768 844509	382765 824768 844509 293637	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084 880690	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084 880690	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084 880690	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084 880690	382765 824768 844509 293637 427084 880690
X-COORDINATE	333930	235413	333303	218370	157880	553473	200000	553988	634745	623624	587.40	330750	441050	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	325401	710834	505416	565637	471558	526649	621618	356775	341832	222647	37.402.5	659150	557322	736091	732990	786202	735751	749358	762544	762622	778640	810575	737004	790907	320126	136300	78757	810124	217472	504893	467896		633984	633984 457793	633984 457793 620845	633984 457793 620845 528210	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526.49	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526649	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526649	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526649	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526649	633984 457793 620845 528210 664357 526649
×	1103	2109	7700	5015	9109	6018	5013	070	6021	227	523	7. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A. (A	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 6	\$05P	7.6	5033	6033	5034	900	6039	ģ.	20 0 20 0 20 0 20 0	9 5	- q		6053	5054	6058	6029	6063	200	2000	6909	6070	5071	6073	503	6075	200	F 000	200	2000	Š	61	6100		6107	6107 6118	6107 6118 6119	6107 6118 6119 6125	6118 6118 6119 6125 6126	6107 6118 6119 6125 6126	6107 6118 6119 6125 6126	6107 6118 6119 6125 6126	6118 6119 6119 6125 6126 62	6107 6118 6119 6125 6126 62	6107 6118 6119 6125 6126 62	6107 6118 6125 6125 62 62

# F	X-COORDINATE	Y-COORDINATE	STRII ON NRME	COUNTY	# OF YEARS	PERIOD OF RECORD
65	624709	887229	1 TO 1	1	45	1929-1973
5	606168	872233	z	PRLM BERCH	×	1942-1973
8	550416	865576	1 - U.S. SUGAR		ж	1913-1973
69	563018	859420	CHARL AT HGS-3 & S-	PRLM BERCH	21	1967-1990
2	593020	860558	H65-4 ON LAKE OKEECHOBEE AT HILLS. & N. NEW RIVER	PRICH BERCH	æ	1951-1986
7027	365896	1435884	KISSIMMEE 2	OSCEOLA	ĸ	1940-1972
7035	732327	1009774	S-80 SPILLHRY & LOCK ON ST. LUCIE CANAL TIDEWATER	MARTIN	37	1940-1989
7036	391538	999564	VENUS 4 SSH	HI GHL FINDS	Ж	1928-1978
7037	624749	963967	PORT MAYRCA LOCK (CORPS OF ENGINEERS)	HARTIN	33	1940-1989
2039	525649	881699	HGS-2 ON LAKE OKEECHOBEE AT CLENISTON	HENDRY	6	1936-1989
24	592686	860287	HIS-4 ON LAKE OKEECHOBEE AT HILLS, & NEW RIVER	PALM BEACH	8	1937-1989
Š	619721	919826	HGS-5 ON LAKE OKEECHOBEE TO M.P.B. CANAL	PRLH BERCH	4	1940-1988
5	400590	892412	SPILLHRY & LOCK ON CRLODSRARTCHEE RIVER	GL.ADES	88	1936-1989
5	358317	799744	FELDA - RECORDING BURGE	HENDRY	%	1941-1972
7050	267390	91429	KEY WEST 450 BIRPORT	MONROE	8 8	1941-1989
7052	599437	206018	I	HOMROE	23	1941-1976
7057	737977	502333	MICHI 48 CITY	DADE	69	1901-1983
7065	731490	535110	MIRMI RIRPORT MSHO RP CHORR STRTION 56633	DADE	\$€	1939-1989
7067	680128	578682	PENNSUCO 5NH	OHOE	8	1941-1989
7072	797636	735636	BOCH RATON	PALM BEACH	50	1948-1989
2023	392985	1532753	ORLANDO HB AIRPORT	ORGINGE	\$	1940-1989
7085	651660	727791		PRILI BERCH	ĸ	1940-1981
2089	670038	521196	TAMIAMI CANAL AT DADE - BROWARD LEVEE	DADE	21	1941-1966
7093	216752	818636	PERS -	LEE	35	1941-1989
잂	583269	852796		PRLM BERCH	35	1940-1972
æ	597341	847274	2	PALE BEACH	22	1959-1990
3. 2.	597341	847274	SOUTH BRY (BELFORT)	PRLM BEACH	Æ	1929-1981
۳	706624	854741	4.H.		83	1956~1990
8	785339	831476		PRLM BERCH	31	1928-1990
œ	438597	1446710	LAKE MYRTLE (2835)	OSCEOLA	8	1953-1990
81	759669	828685			31	1940-1990
2	786400	795838	BOYNTON RD. & MILITARY TR. (LHDD)		31	1940-1990
8	771212	798465	æ		옩	1928-1990
88	760656	786988	_		32	19401990
6	754166	793410	H.C.A. 1 IN LEVEE L-40 NEAR BORT RAMP	PRILI DEFICE	ଯ	1960-1990
5	355982	1438445	KISSIMMEE FIELD STRTION		21	1964-1990
8	786766	782109	LAKE HORTH DRAINAGE DISTRICT OFFICE (LHOD)	PALM BEACH	31	1955-1990
9018	770999	574816	STONEBRAKER	DADE	53	1953-1979
앓	771113	771605	RO. & E2 (LHDD)	PALM BERCH	었	1928-1990
8	759988	777391	SELINE		31	1955-1990
κ	681286	277786	AT 5-6	_	27	1960-1990
86	573893	726262	S-8 SPILLWAY ON HIGHI CHNAL	PALH BEACH	22	1962-1990